## **Crowdsensing for road sustainability** Validation of publicly sourced data for exploitation

Lorenz Cuno Klopfenstein, Saverio Delpriori, Alessandro Bogliolo, Andrea Sergiacomi, Marina Marcozzi, Donna Boardman, Peter Parfitt



### Collective intelligence

Collective intelligence refers to the capacity to mobilize and coordinate the expertise and creativity possessed by large groups of individuals in order to solve problems and create new knowledge. (Tjornbo, 2016)

• No need for physical proximity,

Impact of ICT: • Asynchronous communication,

• Large-scale communication...

### Crowdsensing

A new sensing paradigm that empowers ordinary citizens to contribute data sensed or generated from their mobile devices and aggregates and fuses the data in the cloud for crowd intelligence extraction and human-centric service delivery.

(Guo et al., 2015)

Mobile Crowdsensing (MCS)



- Devices are ubiquitous,
  - Broad range of sensors,
  - Connectivity,
  - Computation,
  - User interaction.

### Road quality monitoring



### Road quality indicators

- Traverse evenness
- Longitudinal evenness IRI (International Roughness Indicator)
- Vehicle/road interaction

Surface texture, skid resistance, noise, rolling resistance

Surface defects

Cracking, potholes, depressions, delamination, patching...

#### Monitoring methods





(See PIARC 2016R17EN)

(Source: Müller-BBM)





### SmartRoadSense

#### CROWD4ROADS pilots



#### National pilot: Italy Approx. 650 000 kms Mapped 47 497,44 kms (7%)

#### CROWD4ROADS regional pilots





Marche (Italy) 5 835 kms Mapped 4 754,74 kms (81,4%) Buckinghamshire (UK) Approx. 3 500 kms Mapped 1 693,32 kms (51,5%)

#### CROWD4ROADS city-level pilots





Ancona city (Italy) 350 kms Mapped 252,44 kms (72,1%)

Mantova municipality (Italy) Approx. 1 124 kms Mapped 248,38 kms (22,1%)

#### Data validation approaches

Crowdsensing is inherently susceptible to the collection of low quality data.

- 1. Statistical significance assessment
- 2. Visual road inspection
- Systematic comparison
  with authoritative road quality data

#### Statistical significance assessment



(See Freschi et al., 2017)

#### Visual road inspection





#### SP502: km 02+150-02+400 (Marche)

Consistent. Slight deformation on the road (before the overpass) and noticeable longitudinal damage to the road surface (after the overpass). SRS data: orange (before the overpass, north) and red (after the overpass, south).

#### Visual road inspection





#### A4157 Douglas Road, Aylesbury (Buckinghamshire)

Not consistent. Inspection indicates the highway surface is in poor condition and impacted by heavy (including freight) traffic. Inspection shows cracking in the transverse highway surface, wearing coarse deterioration and surface deterioration. SmartRoadSense data shows dark green with very minor variations (PPE readings between 0.074 and 0.464).

#### Comparison with authoritative data



#### Comparison with authoritative data



#### Comparison with authoritative data



#### Conclusions

Crowd-based data collection allows **citizens to contribute with data** that can have **substantial value** and can be carefully exploited.

Comparison with ground truth data, quality estimation, tampering detection, the adoption of trust or reputation schemes offer a **wide range of research opportunities**.

Preliminary results show that the **data validation methods show promise** and that in-depth studies to reliably map crowd-collected data to useful metrics are needed.

# Thank you.

